

UNITED STATES DETERMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/463,598 01/27/00 STARZMANN M GP7287US **EXAMINER** IM52/0706 D PETER HOCHBERG HAMLIN D **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER D PETER HOCHBERG CO 1940 E 6TH STREET 6TH FLOOR 1751 CLEVELAND OH 44114-2294 DATE MAILED: 07/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	() Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/463,598	STARZMANN, MARTIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Derrick G. Hamlin	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36 (a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minimularily and will expire SIX.	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 J</u>	lanuary 2000 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-fina	I.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	nt.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)		
15) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) ⊠ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	19) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:

Application/Control Number: 09/463,598

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. Claims 2-12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2-12 make reference to a "cooling fluid", however a "frost resistant fluid" or simply "a fluid" would be more accurate, since the composition is both a heating and cooling fluid. This occurs several times in some claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

All "/" should be removed from the claims. For example, claim 1, recites "heating/cooling"; the "/" should be replaces with "or". Claim 2 recites the limitation of being "calculated on the weight" and should read "based on the total weight". This occurs in other claims as well. Claim 2 recites the limitation of being "an acid selected from the group consisting of acid". This is redundant and does not further define the claim. Several claims, such as claim 3, recites a weight, however it does not identify what it refers to; "of the mixture" or "of the corrosion inhibitor" should follow the weight

Application/Control Number: 09/463,598

Art Unit: 1751

%, as it describes the mixture which follows. Claim 7 appears to include an extra "and" as well as an unnecessary semi colon. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Evaluations of level of ordinary skill in the art requires consideration of factors such as various prior art approaches employed, types of problems encountered in the art, rapidity with which innovations are made, sophistication of technology involved, educational background of those actively working in the field, commercial success, failure of others, and the inventor's educational level.

The "person having ordinary skill" in this art has the capability of understanding the scientific and engineering principles applicable to the claimed invention. The references of record in this case reasonably reflect this level of skill.

4. Claims 1-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoenke et al. (5,132,035).

Hoenke discloses an invention is directed to compositions comprising a major amount of alkaline earth and/or alkali metal carboxylate, minor amounts of their precursors and/or impurities, and an effective anti-staining amount of an organic chelating agent. The preferred alkaline earth carboxylate is calcium magnesium acetate (CMA). The preferred alkali metal carboxylate is sodium formate. (abstract) Preferred

Application/Control Number: 09/463,598

Art Unit: 1751

chelating agents are the aminocarboxylic acids containing 2 to 4 carboxylic acid groups, and most preferably 3 to 4 carboxylic acid groups. The most preferred chelating agents are ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), hydroxyethylethylenediaminetriacetic acid (HEDTA), nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), N-dihydroxyethylglycine (2-HxG), and ethylenebis(hydroxyphenylglycine) (EHPG) (col. 4, lines 16-23). To such a composition it is preferred that a sufficient amount of chelating agent be applied so that the organic chelating agent will comprise about 3% by weight of the total composition (col. 3, lines 26-29).

The reference teaches additional components in the composition and the reference teaches that the material is a deicing and not a coolant.

Although the reference teaches additional components, the components do not effect the composition's use as a coolant. The deicing art is analogous to the coolant art.

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick G. Hamlin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternating Fridays from 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Derrick G. Hamlin

6/30/2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700